









Mid-Season Influenza Vaccine Effectiveness Estimates for the 2013–2014 Influenza Season

Angelia A. Cost

Michelle J. Hiser Gary T. Brice
Zheng Hu Shauna C. Zorich
Michael J. Cooper Laurie S. DeMarcus
Anthony W. Hawksworth Katie J. Tastad
Jennifer M. Radi Jose L. Sanchez



Naval Health Research Center

Report No. 14-17

The views expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the official policy or position of the Department of the Navy, Department of Defense, nor the U.S. Government.

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

Naval Health Research Center 140 Sylvester Road San Diego, California 92106



MSMR

MEDICAL SURVEILLANCE MONTHLY REPORT



CDC/Melicea Browe







PAGE 2	Reported gastrointestinal infections in the U.S. Air Force, 2000–2012
	Kara E. Cover, BS; Stefani A. Ruiz, MHS; Alice S. Chapman, DVM, MPH

PAGE 8 Gallbladder disease and cholecystectomies, active component, U.S. Armed Forces, 2004–2013

PAGE 12 Brief report: the geographic distribution of incident coccidioidomycosis among active component service members, 2000–2013

PAGE 15 Brief report: mid-season influenza vaccine effectiveness estimates for the 2013–2014 influenza season

Angelia A. Cost, PhD, ScM; Michelle J. Hiser, MPH; Zheng Hu, MS; Michael J. Cooper, PhD; Anthony W. Hawksworth; Jennifer M. Radin, MPH; Gary T. Brice, PhD; Shauna C. Zorich, MD, MPH; Laurie S. DeMarcus, MPH; Katie J. Tastad, MPH; Jose L. Sanchez, MD, MPH

PAGE 18 Surveillance snapshot: states with the most pertussis diagnoses among service members and other beneficiaries of the Military Health System, January 2012–June 2014

SUMMARY TABLES AND FIGURES

PAGE 19 Deployment-related conditions of special surveillance interest

Brief Report

Mid-Season Influenza Vaccine Effectiveness Estimates for the 2013–2014 Influenza Season

Angelia A. Cost, PhD, ScM; Michelle J. Hiser, MPH; Zheng Hu, MS; Michael J. Cooper, PhD (CAPT, USPHS); Anthony W. Hawksworth; Jennifer M. Radin, MPH; Gary T. Brice, PhD (CDR, USN); Shauna C. Zorich, MD, MPH (Maj, USAF); Laurie S. DeMarcus, MPH; Katie J. Tastad, MPH; Jose L. Sanchez, MD, MPH (COL, USA, Ret)

he Department of Defense (DoD) conducts influenza surveillance for military members, dependents, and select civilian populations. The Armed Forces Health Surveillance Center (AFHSC), Naval Health Research Center (NHRC), and the U.S. Air Force School of Aerospace Medicine (USAFSAM) conduct annual mid-season influenza vaccine effectiveness (VE) analyses for the aforementioned populations. This report describes VE estimates at the midpoint for the 2013–2014 influenza season (29 September 2013 through 15 February 2014).

These three organizations performed separate analyses using the case-control method to estimate VE. Cases were individuals with positive laboratory tests for influenza during the first half of the 2013–2014 influenza season. In their individual studies, NHRC and USAFSAM used the control-test negative method for the selection of controls, while AFHSC used healthy controls.

AFHSC utilized data from the Defense Medical Surveillance System (DMSS) to identify all active component, non-recruit service members during the study period. Health Level 7 data in DMSS were used to identify influenza cases that were laboratory confirmed by a rapid influenza test, reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR), or viral culture. Controls were active component service members with healthcare encounters for musculoskeletal conditions with no respiratory infections at the time of the encounters and no record of influenza during the study period. Controls were matched to cases by sex, age, date

of diagnosis (±3 days), and treatment facility. Most cases and controls were treated at military or civilian medical facilities in the U.S.; however, the data did include service members who sought care at military treatment facilities (MTFs) in Europe, South Korea, Japan, and Guam. Vaccination status was determined by immunization records documented in DMSS.

The NHRC analysis relied on febrile respiratory illness (FRI) surveillance among DoD dependents living in Southern California and Illinois and civilians at clinics and hospitals near the U.S.–Mexico border from 25 November 2013 through 16 January 2014. Influenza cases were individuals who had positive laboratory tests for influenza by RT-PCR. Controls were FRI cases who tested negative for influenza. Vaccination status was determined by medical chart review. Individuals were considered vaccinated if their diagnoses occurred more than 14 days and less than 180 days since influenza vaccination.

The USAFSAM VE analyses were conducted using data generated from the DoD Global, Laboratory-based, Influenza Surveillance Program during the study period. Cases and controls were military dependents who presented to sentinel or other participating MTFs with influenza-like illness. As with AFHSC's analysis, most cases and controls presented to MTFs in the U.S.; however, dependents presenting to MTFs in Guam, Japan, and South Korea were also included. Cases were those who had positive laboratory tests for influenza by RT-PCR, viral culture, or multiplex PCR respiratory panel testing. Controls were

individuals who tested negative for influenza. Vaccination status was obtained from electronic immunization records or the program's surveillance questionnaire. Individuals were considered vaccinated if the vaccine was given at least 14 days prior to specimen collection.

Crude and adjusted odds ratios (ORs) were calculated using logistic regression. VE was defined as $(1 - OR) \times 100^{-1}$ When possible, analyses were stratified by influenza subtype and vaccine type (inactivated influenza vaccine [IIV] and live-attenuated influenza vaccine [LAIV]). Models were adjusted for 1) AFHSC: age, sex, and 5-year vaccination history for influenza as a dichotomous variable (Y=at least one vaccination in the previous 5 years, N=no vaccinations for influenza during the previous 5 years); 2) NHRC: age, hospitalization status (inpatient/outpatient), and surveillance population/location; and 3) USAFSAM: age group and time period (collapsed into four equal quartiles).

For the NHRC analyses of civilian and dependent populations, the estimated overall adjusted VE was 53% (95% confidence interval [CI], 17–74). By comparison, USAFSAM calculated an overall VE of 66% (95% CI, 51–76) for military dependents (Table). USAFSAM's subanalysis of VE by vaccine type (i.e., IIV and LAIV) indicated a statistically significant VE of 74% (95% CI, 60–83) for IIV and a statistically nonsignificant VE for LAIV (40%; 95% CI, -5–66). AFHSC's overall analyses estimated a nonsignificant VE for active component military members, 7% (95% CI, -32–35). Additional subanalyses by vaccine type also

June 2014 Vol. 21 No. 6 MSMR Page 15

TABLE. Mid-season influenza vaccine effectiveness (VE) among different populations for the 2013–2014 influenza season

Population	Viral subtype	Vaccine type	No. of cases (% vaccinated)	No. of controls (% vaccinated) ^a	Crude VE (95% CI)	Adjusted VE (95% CI) ^b
Active component service members (AFHSC)	Overall	Any type	518 (90)	2060 (91)	11 (-27–37)	7 (-32–35)
		IIV	183 (32)	1086 (48)	31 (0-53)	28 (-5–51)
		LAIV	324 (56)	910 (40)	-13 (-63–22)	-17 (-70–19)
Civilians and dependents (NHRC)	Overall	Any type	106 (19)	278 (33)	52 (17–72)	53° (17–74)
	Influenza A (H1)	Any type	84 (17)	278 (33)	59 (23–78)	63° (33–81)
Dependents (USAFSAM)	Overall	Any type	339 (26)	469 (39)	44 (24–59)	66° (51–76)
		IIV	302 (17)	425 (33)	57 (38–70)	74° (60–83)
		LAIV	234 (15)	248 (16)	6 (-54–42)	40 (-5–66)

^aAFHSC used healthy controls (matched to cases by sex, age, and date [+/- 3 days] and treatment facility) and NHRC and USAFSAM used unmatched influenza test negative controls.

Abbreviations: AFHSC=Armed Forces Health Surveillance Center; NHRC=Naval Health Research Center; USAFSAM=U.S. Air Force School of Aerospace Medicine; IIV=inactivated influenza vaccine; LAIV=live-attenuated influenza vaccine

produced nonsignificant estimates for VE **(Table)**. Due to small sample sizes, NHRC was not able to compare VE by vaccine type or by influenza subtype.

Overall adjusted VE estimates for dependents and civilians included in these analyses indicated moderate protection and were similar to estimates published by other groups, including the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (61%) and the Canadian Primary Care Sentinel Surveillance Network (74%).^{2,3} The civilian and dependent results suggest that the influenza vaccine reduced the risk of medically attended influenza from 53% to 66% among these populations. These findings demonstrate the benefits of the 2013–2014 seasonal influenza vaccine at mid-season.

These analyses indicate a statistically significant VE for the IIV vaccine in dependent and select civilian populations. VE was not statistically significant for LAIV. This finding is consistent with other published studies indicating IIV has a greater VE.⁴⁻⁸

It has been suggested that the differences in effectiveness could be due to the inability of the live, attenuated viruses to stimulate antibody response due to recipients' past exposure to similar influenza viruses.^{8,9} LAIV has been shown to have a VE comparable to that of IIV in vaccine-naïve adult cohorts and similar or superior efficacy in infants and young children with limited histories of influenza vaccination.^{7,10–13}

VE estimations frequently differ between service members and civilians, with higher VE estimates seen among the latter. The most pronounced difference between these two groups is that influenza vaccination is mandatory for U.S. military members. This, in turn, leads to greater experience with, or exposure to, influenza vaccines, which might lead to a diminished antibody response and potentially diminished VE.^{14–17} In addition, the fact that the U.S. military starts vaccinating for influenza as early as August each year raises the possibility that individuals vaccinated

several months prior to the influenza season peak, typically in January or February, might be left unprotected due to waning immunity when the risk for infection is highest. Additional research aimed at understanding the impact of long vaccination histories (i.e., many vaccinations over many years) and regarding the duration of protection of influenza vaccines is needed to further elucidate these findings.

These analyses have limitations. The generalizability of these results is limited for various reasons. Cases were only included if the patients were sick enough to seek medical attention; therefore, we cannot comment on the vaccine's impact on less severe cases. Also, some vaccination data relied on patient recall and may not accurately reflect actual vaccination status. In addition, because the U.S. military population is younger and healthier than the general U.S. population, vaccine impact cannot be generalized to older, higher-risk populations. Lastly, estimation of VE by

^bAdjusted for 1) AFHSC: age, sex, 5-year prior vaccination status; 2) NHRC: age, hospitalization status (inpatient/outpatient) and surveillance population/location (overall VE only); or 3) USAFSAM: age group, time period (collapsed into four quartiles)

[°]Statistically significant

specific influenza subtype and by type of vaccine (i.e., IIV and LAIV) could not be adequately examined given limitations of laboratory-based data and small numbers of infected individuals.

Author affiliations: Armed Forces Health Surveillance Center, Silver Spring, MD (Drs. Cost and Sanchez, Ms. Hu, Ms. Hiser, CAPT Cooper); Naval Health Research Center, San Diego, CA (Mr. Hawksworth, Ms. Radin, CDR Brice); U.S. Air Force School of Aerospace Medicine, Wright-Patterson AFB, OH (Maj Zorich, Ms. DeMarcus, Ms. Tastad); Henry M. Jackson Foundation for the Advancement of Military Medicine, Bethesda, MD (Ms. DeMarcus, Mr. Hawksworth, Ms. Radin); Oak Ridge Institute for Science and Education, Oak Ridge, TN (Ms. Hiser); and Cherokee Nation Technology Solutions, Silver Spring, MD (Drs. Cost and Sanchez, Ms. Hu).

REFERENCES

1. Eick-Cost AA, Hu Z, Cooper MJ, et al. Mid-season influenza vaccine effectiveness for the 2012–2013 influenza season. *MSMR*. 2013;20(3):15–16.

- 2. Flannery B, Thaker SN, Clippard J, et al. Interim estimates of 2013–14 seasonal influenza vaccine effectiveness—United States, February 2014. *MMWR*. 2014;63(7):137–142.
- 3. Skowronski D, Chambers C, Sabaiduc S, et al. Interim estimates of 2013/14 vaccine effectiveness against influenza A(H1N1) pdm09 from Canada's sentinel surveillance network, January 2014. *Euro Surveill*. 2014;19(5):pii=20690.
- 4. Eick AA, Wang Z, Hughes H, Ford SM, Tobler SK. Comparison of the trivalent live attenuated vs. inactivated influenza vaccines among U.S. military service members. *Vaccine*. 2009;27(27):3568–3575.
- 5. Eick-Cost AA, Tastad KJ, Guerrero AC, et al. Effectiveness of seasonal influenza vaccines against influenza-associated illnesses among US military personnel in 2010–11: a case-control approach. *PLoS One*. 2012;7(7):e41435.
- 6. Johns MC, Eick AA, Blazes DL, et al. Seasonal influenza vaccine and protection against pandemic (H1N1) 2009-associated illness among US military personnel. *PLoS One*. 2010;5(5):e10722.
- 7. Wang Z, Tobler S, Roayaei J, Eick A. Live attenuated or inactivated influenza vaccines and medical encounters for respiratory illnesses among US military personnel. *JAMA*. 2009;301(9):945–953.
- 8. Monto AS, Ohmit SE, Petrie JG, et al. Comparative efficacy of inactivated and live attenuated influenza vaccines. *N Engl J Med.* 2009;361(13):1260–1267.
- 9. Gorse GJ, O'Connor TZ, Newman FK, et al. Immunity to influenza in older adults with chronic

- obstructive pulmonary disease. *J Infect Dis.* 2004;190(1):11–19.
- 10. Allison MA, Daley MF, Crane LA, et al. Influenza vaccine effectiveness in healthy 6- to 21-month-old children during the 2003–2004 season. *J Pediatr.* 2006;149(6):755–762.
- 11. Belshe RB, Edwards KM, Vesikari T, et al. Live attenuated versus inactivated influenza vaccine in infants and young children. *N Engl J Med.* 2007;356(7):685–696.
- 12. Ohmit SE, Victor JC, Teich ER, et al. Prevention of symptomatic seasonal influenza in 2005–2006 by inactivated and live attenuated vaccines. *J Infect Dis.* 2008;198(3):312–317.
- 13. Shuler CM, Iwamoto M, Bridges CB, et al. Vaccine effectiveness against medically attended, laboratory-confirmed influenza among children aged 6 to 59 months, 2003–2004. *Pediatrics*. 2007;119(3):e587–e595.
- 14. Carrat F, Lavenu A, Cauchemez S, Deleger S. Repeated influenza vaccination of healthy children and adults: borrow now, pay later? *Epidemiol Infect.* 2006;134(1):63–70.
- 15. Huijskens E, Rossen J, Mulder P, et al. Immunogenicity, boostability, and sustainability of the immune response after vaccination against Influenza A virus (H1N1) 2009 in a healthy population. *Clin Vaccine Immunol.* 2011;18(9):1401–1405.
- 16. Ohmit SE, Petrie JG, Malosh RE, et al. Influenza vaccine effectiveness in the community and the household. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2012;56(10):1363–1369.
- 17. Sasaki S, He XS, Holmes TH, et al. Influence of prior influenza vaccination on antibody and B-cell responses. *PLoS One*. 2008;3(8):e2975.

Medical Surveillance Monthly Report (MSMR)

Armed Forces Health Surveillance Center 11800 Tech Road, Suite 220 (MCAF-CS) Silver Spring, MD 20904

Director, Armed Forces Health Surveillance Center

CAPT Kevin L. Russell, MD, MTM&H, FIDSA (USN)

Editor

Francis L. O'Donnell, MD, MPH

Writer-Editors

Denise Olive Daniele, MS Elizabeth J. Lohr, MA

Contributing Editors

John F. Brundage, MD, MPH Leslie L. Clark, PhD, MS Capt Bryant Webber, MD, MPH (USAF)

Layout/Design

Darrell Olson

Data Analysis

Kerri A. Dorsey, MPH Stephen B. Taubman, PhD

Editorial Oversight

Maj Patricia Rohrbeck, DrPH, MPH Joel C. Gaydos, MD, MPH Mark V. Rubertone, MD, MPH THE MEDICAL SURVEILLANCE MONTHLY REPORT (MSMR), in continuous publication since 1995, is produced by the Armed Forces Health Surveillance Center (AFHSC). The *MSMR* provides evidence-based estimates of the incidence, distribution, impact and trends of illness and injuries among United States military members and associated populations. Most reports in the *MSMR* are based on summaries of medical administrative data that are routinely provided to the AFHSC and integrated into the Defense Medical Surveillance System for health surveillance purposes.

All previous issues of the *MSMR* are available online at www.afhsc.mil. Subscriptions (electronic and hard copy) may be requested online at www. afhsc.mil/msmrSubscribe or by contacting AFHSC by phone: (301)319-3240 or email: usarmy.ncr.medcom-afhsc.mbx.msmr@mail.mil.

Submissions: Instructions to authors are available at www.afhsc.mil/msmr.

All material in the *MSMR* is in the public domain and may be used and reprinted without permission. Citation formats are available at www.afhsc.mil/msmr.

Opinions and assertions expressed in the *MSMR* should not be construed as reflecting official views, policies, or positions of the Department of Defense or the United States Government.

Follow us:



www.facebook.com/AFHSCPAGE



http://twitter.com/AFHSCPAGE

ISSN 2158-0111 (print) ISSN 2152-8217 (online)



REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

The public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB Control number. PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.

1. REPORT DATE (DD MM YY) 21 05 14

2. REPORT TYPE Journal submission 3. DATES COVERED (from - to) September 2013 to September 2014

4. TITLE

Mid-Season Influenza Vaccine Effectiveness Estimates for the 2013–2014 Influenza Season

5a. Contract Number:

5b. Grant Number:

5c. Program Element Number:

5d. Project Number:

5e. Task Number:

5f. Work Unit Number: 60805

6. AUTHORS

Cost, Angelia A; Hiser, Michelle J.; Hu, Zheng; Cooper, Michael J.; Hawksworth, Anthony W.; Radin, Jennifer M.; Brice, Gary T.; Zorich, Shauna C.; DeMarcus, Laurie S.; Tastad, Katie J.; Sanchez, Jose L.

7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)

Commanding Officer Naval Health Research Center 140 Sylvester Rd San Diego, CA 92106-3521

8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT **NUMBER**

14-17

9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAMES(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)

Commanding Officer Naval Medical Research Center 503 Robert Grant Ave

Silver Spring, MD 20910-7500

Chief, Bureau of Medicine and Surgery (MED 00), Navy Dept 2300 E Street NW

Washington, DC 20372-5300

10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) NMRC/NMSC

11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(s)

12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

Brief Report: Medical Surveillance Monthly Report, June 2014, 26(6), 15-17

14. ABSTRACT

The Department of Defense conducts influenza surveillance for military members, dependents, and select civilian populations. The Armed Forces Health Surveillance Center, Naval Health Research Center, and the United States Air Force School of Aerospace Medicine conduct annual mid-season influenza vaccine effectiveness (VE) analyses for the aforementioned populations. This report describes VE estimates at the midpoint for the 2013–2014 influenza season (29 September 2013 to 15 February 2014). Taken as a whole, moderate influenza VE of 50-60% was observed in 2013–2014 among the study populations.

15. SUBJECT TERMS

influenza, vaccine effectiveness

16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: a. REPORT b. ABSTRACT c. THIS PAGE UNCL UNCL UNCL

17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UNCL

18. NUMBER OF PAGES 8

18a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON Commanding Officer

18b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (INCLUDING AREA CODE) COMM/DSN: (619) 553-8429